

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/552,976	Applicant(s) MATSUDA, YOJIRO	
	Examiner JASON MANDEVILLE	Art Unit 2629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JASON MANDEVILLE. (3) ____.

(2) Scott D. Malpede. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☒ 103 ☐ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 13 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Johnson (US 2005 / 0270267), Kishi (US 2003 / 0231162).

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The examiner proposed a number of claim amendments to the independent claims and provided rationale relating to the significance and necessity of those amendments with respect to Figures 1-4 of the applicant's disclosure and the references to Johnson, Kishi, and other cited prior art. The applicant agreed that the proposed amendments would further prosecution. Further explanation of the substance of the interview is presented in the attached Office Action.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation, including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Jason Mandeville/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629	
---	--